

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES.	2
RESULTS.	2
COMPARING VARIETIES.	3
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.	4
Table 1. Entries and Sources for 1983	6
Table 2. Cultural Practices for Soybean Variety Tests in 1983	9
Table 3. Performance of Soybean Varieties in Northern Alabama, 1983	10
Table 4. Performance of Soybean Varieties in Central Alabama, 1983	12
Table 5. Performance of Soybean Varieties in Southern Alabama, 1983	14
Table 6. Performance of Soybean Varieties on Sumter Soil, Marion Junction, Alabama, 1983.	16
Table 7. Performance of Soybean Varieties on Vaiden Soil, Marion Junction, Alabama, 1983.	18
Table 8. Performance of Soybean Varieties at Fairhope, Alabama, 1983	20
Table 9. Performance of Soybean Varieties in Northern Alabama, 3-year Summary	22
Table 10. Performance of Soybean Varieties in Central Alabama, 3-year Summary	24
Table 11. Performance of Soybean Varieties in Southern Alabama, 3-year Summary	26

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

Table 12. Performance of Soybean Varieties on Sumter Soil, Marion Junction, Alabama, 3-year Summary.	28
Table 13. Performance of Soybean Varieties on Vaiden Soil, Marion Junction, Alabama, 3-year Summary	30
Table 14. Performance of Soybean Varieties in Fairhope, Alabama, 3-year Summary.	32
Table 15. Performance of Soybean Varieties in Preliminary Tests .	34
STEM CANKER DISEASE REACTION AT MARION JUNCTION AND SHORTER, ALABAMA.	36
Procedures	37
Results.	38
Table 16. Stem Canker Ratings Made on Soybean Varieties at Marion Junction and Shorter, Alabama	39
RECOMMENDED SOYBEAN VARIETIES FOR 1984.	42

*Information contained herein is available to all persons regardless
of race, color, sex, or national origin.*

PERFORMANCE OF SOYBEAN VARIETIES IN ALABAMA, 1983

G.V. Granade and W.C. Johnson¹

INTRODUCTION

Soybean variety tests are conducted annually by the Alabama Agricultural Experiment Station. Varieties in the test are produced by both public and private breeders. Maturity groups range from group IV, early, to group IX, late, table 1. The 10 locations used represent the major soil and climatic regions of Alabama. These locations are divided into logical soybean growing regions. The regions and locations are:

<u>Region</u>	<u>Location</u>
Northern	Belle Mina, Crossville
Central	Camden, Prattville, Shorter
Southern	Brewton, Headland, Monroeville
Black Belt Soils	Mariion Junction
Baldwin-Mobile	Fairhope

A standard test is grown at each location. In addition, a date of planting test is grown in each region and preliminary tests are grown in the northern, central, and southern regions. The preliminary test contains experimental varieties and released varieties which are new to that particular region. These varieties will be placed in the standard test if their performance warrants.

¹Research Associate and Professor, Department of Agronomy and Soils.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Data were collected on seed yield, moisture, lodging, shattering, plant height, and maturity date. Plot yields were adjusted to 13 percent moisture and converted to bushels (60 pounds) per acre. Lodging was scored on a scale of 1 to 5 follows:

- 1 - almost all plants erect.
- 2 - either all plants leaning slightly (less than 45°) or a few plants down.
- 3 - either all plants leaning moderately (approximately 45°) or 25 to 50 percent of the plants down.
- 4 - either all plants leaning (more than 45°) or 50 to 80 percent of the plants down.
- 5 - all plants down.

Shattering was rated 1 to 5 and was based on performance of the border row 14 days after maturity. A rating of 1 indicates no shattering and a rating of 5 is 20 percent or more shattering. Plant height was determined by measuring from the ground to the top of the plant at maturity. Maturity date was the day 95 percent of the pods were brown. Harvest was approximately 7 to 10 days later.

RESULTS

Weather conditions were favorable at most test locations for soybean production in 1983. Yields ranged from an average of 48 bushels per acre at Marion Junction to 14 bushels per acre at Belle Mina. Yields were reduced at Belle Mina due to low rainfall during flowering and pod fill. A serious insect problem defoliated the plants late in the growing season at Prattville. An unidentified disease appeared late in Camden, while stem canker was a problem at Marion Junction and Shorter.

Also, soybeans showed symptoms of iron deficiency in the second planting date test on Sumter soil at Marion Junction. Yield and other pertinent data from 1983 standard and date of planting tests are presented in tables 3-9, and summaries of data for those varieties grown for more than 1 year are in tables 9-14. For the 1983 season, data have been combined into the northern, central, southern, Black Belt soils, and Baldwin-Mobile regions of the State to facilitate comparisons. Yields for preliminary tests are presented in table 15. Yields from the preliminary test at Camden are not reported due to excessive variation.

COMPARING VARIETIES

A least significant difference (L.S.D.) for seed yield was computed for each test in 1983 and is at the bottom of the yield column for each table. To compare any two varieties with a test, calculate the difference in the yield of the two varieties and compare this difference to the L.S.D. value. If this difference is less than the L.S.D. value, there is probably no real difference between the yields of the two varieties and the observed difference is due to experimental error. It is best to also look at the multi-year averages when comparing varieties. Usually at least 3 years of data are needed before the yield potential of a variety can be properly evaluated. For each location, the coefficient of variation (C.V.) is a measure of the variability in the test and is expressed as a percentage of the test mean.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors express their appreciation for cooperation and collection of data to the individuals at the following units of the Alabama Agricultural Experiment Station.

Black Belt Substation Marion Junction	L.A. Smith H.W. Grimes
Brewton Experiment Field Brewton	J.A. Pitts
Gulf Coast Substation Fairhope	E.L. Carden N.R. McDaniel
Lower Coastal Plain Substation Camden	J.A. Little D.P. Delaney
Prattville Experiment Field Prattville	D.P. Moore
Sand Mountain Substation Crossville	J.T. Eason M.E. Ruf
E.V. Smith Research Center Shorter	J.R. Akridge
Tennessee Valley Substation Belle Mina	W.B. Webster V.H. Calvert, II
Wiregrass Substation Headland	J.G. Starling H.W. Ivey

Appreciation is also expressed to Sally Bagwell, Department of Research Data Analysis, and Dianna L. Shellman, Department of Agronomy and Soils, for their assistance.

Special appreciation is expressed to W.H. Hearn and C.D. Jacks, Department of Research Data Analysis, for updating and programming the computer for printing the tables for the variety report. Also, special appreciation is expressed to Barbara Cosper, Research Associate, Department of Agronomy and Soils, William Gazaway, Extension Plant

Pathologist-Nematologist, and John Henderson, Extension Agronomist-Soybeans, David Weaver, Assistant Professor, Department of Agronomy and Soils, and Paul Backman, Professor, Department of Botany, Plant Pathology, and Microbiology for their research data and assistance in preparing the stem canker report.

Special appreciation is also expressed to the following seed companies for their financial support of the variety testing program:

Asgrow Seed Company
W.E. Dimond
Kalamazoo, Michigan

AgraTech Seeds Inc.
Bob G. Jones
Ashburn, Georgia

Coker's Pedigreed Seed Co.
J.J. Stanton, Jr.
Hartsville, South Carolina

Delta and Pine Land Co.
Harry B. Collins
Scott, Mississippi

Eagle Seeds
George A. Berger
Weiner, Arkansas

FFR Cooperative
Michael L. May
Bells, Tennessee

Helena Chemical Co.
Bill Washburn
Memphis, Tennessee

Jacob Hartz Seed Co., Inc.
Curtis Williams
Stuttgart, Arkansas

NAPB
Jimmy L. Barker
West Memphis, Arkansas

Ring Around Products, Inc.
J.A. Mullins
Dallas, Texas

Terral-Norris Seed Co, Inc.
Ronald E. Hagar
Lake Providence, Louisiana

Table 1. Entries and Sources for 1983

Source	Brand-variety
AgraTech Seeds, Inc. Ashburn, Georgia	AgraTech 67 GK 21 GK 120
Alabama Crop Improvement Association Auburn, Alabama	Essex Foster Kirby Lee 74 Ransom
Asgrow Seed Company Kalamazoo, Michigan	A 5474 A 5618 A 6520 (X6420) A 7372
Coker's Pedigreed Seed Company Hartsville, South Carolina	Coker 156 Coker 237 Coker 317 Coker 338 Coker 355 Coker 368 Coker 488 Coker 79R-5 (Experimental) Coker 80-764 (Experimental) Coker 80-795 (Experimental) Coker 80-817 (Experimental) Coker 80-846 (Experimental) Coker 80-870 (Experimental) Coker 80-917 (Experimental) Coker 80-926 (Experimental)
Delta and Pine Land Company Scott, Mississippi	Deltapine 105 Deltapine 246 Deltapine 345 Deltapine 417 Deltapine 497 Deltapine 506
Delta Branch Experiment Station Stoneville, Mississippi	Bedford
Edisto Experiment Station Blackville, South Carolina	Govan
FFR Cooperative Bells, Tennessee	FFR 559 FFR 560 FFR 668

(continued on following page)

Table 1. Entries and Sources for 1983

Source	Brand-variety
Georgia Seed Development Commission Athens, Georgia	Cobb Duocrop GaSoy 17 Hutton Wright
Helena Chemical Company Memphis, Tennessee	HB-007-83-5 (Experimental) HB-468-01-6 (Experimental) HB-507-01-7 (Experimental) Shiloh Sumter Wilstar 550 Wilstar 790
Jacob Hartz Seed Company, Inc. Stuttgart, Arkansas	H78-160 (Experimental) H78-168 (Experimental) H79-7817 (Experimental) H79-13403 (Experimental) Hartz 5171 (H78-766) Hartz 5252 (H76-502) Hartz 5370 (H78-143) Hartz 7126 (H76-672-3A)
Mississippi Foundation Seed Stocks Mississippi State, Mississippi	Forrest Tracy M
Missouri Crop Improvement Association Columbia, Missouri	Bradley
Moorer Seed Farm Hardaway, Alabama	Braxton Davis
North American Plant Breeders West Memphis, Arkansas	Agripro AP 70 Agripro AP 71 NAPB 517 NAPB 611 NAPB 705 NS-27-79 (Experimental) NS-340-79 (Experimental)
North Carolina State University Raleigh, North Carolina	Johnston N77-114 (Experimental)
Northrup King Co. Columbus, Mississippi	McNair 700 McNair 770 S69-96 S72-60

(continued on following page)

Table 1. Entries and Sources for 1983

Source	Brand-variety
Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. Tipton, Indiana	Pioneer 5482 Pioneer 9561
Quality Seed and Fertilizer Thompson Station, Alabama	Centennial
Ring Around Products, Inc. Dallas, Texas	Mitchell 450 RA 480 RA 502 RA 580 RA 604 RA 606 RA 680 RA 702 RA 801
Rio Farms Edcouch, Texas	Jupiter R Santa Rosa R
Riverside/Terra Memphis, Tennessee	Yield King 503 Yield King 563 Yield King 593 Yield King 613 Yield King 713
Terral-Norris Seed Company, Inc. Lake Providence, Louisiana	Terra-Vig 505 Terra-Vig 606 Terra-Vig 708 Terra-Vig 808
Texas Crop Improvement Association College Station, Texas	Dowling
University of Arkansas Fayetteville, Arkansas	Jeff
Virginia Crop Improvement Association Holley, Virginia	Bay

Table 2. Cultural Practices for Soybean Variety Tests in 1983

Location	Type test	Date planted	Herbicides used	Fertilizer applied
Belle Mina	Standard Preliminary	May 11 May 11	Treflan, Dyanap Treflan, Dyanap	2 tons lime/acre 2 tons lime/acre
Crossville	Standard	May 10 June 16	Surflan, Dyanap Surflan, Dyanap	250 lb. 0-24-24/acre 200 lb. 0-24-24/acre
Prattville	Standard	May 9 June 17	Treflan Treflan	None recommended by soil test None recommended by soil test
Shorter	Standard	May 25	Treflan, Vernam	250 lb. 3-18-36 + sulfur/acre
Camden	Standard	May 25	Treflan, Vernam	300 lb. 0-20-20/acre 25 lb. sulfur/acre 9 lb $ZnSO_4$ /acre
	Preliminary	May 27	Treflan, Vernam; Toxaphene	300 lb. 0-20-20/acre 25 lb. sulfur/acre 9 lb $ZnSO_4$ /acre
Headland	Standard	May 18	Balan, Dual	None recommended by soil test
Monroeville	Preliminary	May 31	None	1 ton lime/acre
Brewton	Standard	June 10 July 7	Paraquat None	None recommended by soil test 300 lb. 0-20-20/acre
Marion Junction	Standard (Sumter) Standard (Vaiden)	May 26 July 11 May 26 July 11	Treflan Treflan Treflan Treflan	250 lb. 0-30-15/acre 250 lb. 0-30-15/acre 200 lb. 0-20-20/acre 200 lb. 0-20-20/acre
Fairhope	Standard	June 13 August 8	Attac Lasso, Fusilade	300 lb. 0-14-14/acre 300 lb. 0-14-14/acre

TABLE 3. PERFORMANCE OF SOYBEAN VARIETIES IN NORTHERN ALABAMA: 1983

BRAND-VARIETY	YIELD PER ACRE			lodging			Regional Average			Maturity Date		
	BELIE MINA			CROSSVILLE		DATE 1 BU.		DATE 1 BU.		DATE 1 BU.		DATE 1 DATE 2
	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 IN.	DATE 2 IN.	DATE 1 DATE 2
EARLY												
A 5474	12.7	24.9	27.6	1.9	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	33	26	9-23 10-19
A 5618	15.2	26.4	29.9	1.4	1.0	1.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	33	26	9-27 10-22
A 5939	11.8	25.0	28.6	2.0	1.8	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	36	27	10-1 10-19
BEDFORD	10.7	28.1	26.9	2.3	2.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	37	30	9-30 10-20
COKER 355	12.9	26.6	29.4	1.8	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	36	27	10-2 10-21
COKER 79R-5	14.1	28.6	25.4	1.1	1.0	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	27	21	9-18 10-18
COKER 80-764	16.9	28.5	32.1	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	31	26	10-7 10-23
DELTAPINE 105	19.8	32.3	31.4	2.4	2.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	38	29	10-1 10-22
DELTAPINE 345	14.3	28.4	31.6	1.5	2.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	35	29	10-2 10-15
ESSEX	16.7	24.7	27.0	1.4	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	27	23	9-18 10-23
FORREST	11.5	30.8	30.5	1.8	2.3	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	31	28	9-26 10-20
HARTZ 5171	13.3	33.0	33.3	2.3	3.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	37	29	10-6 10-28
HARTZ 5370	16.5	30.2	31.9	2.3	1.8	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	36	29	10-2 10-19
HITCHELL 450	11.1	15.9	25.4	1.1	1.0	2.1	1.8	1.8	1.8	31	23	9-21 10-24
RA 480	14.2	21.0	28.3	1.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	32	23	9-20 10-17
RA 502	12.2	32.1	33.4	1.9	3.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	36	29	9-30 10-19
SHILGH	13.9	26.9	28.7	1.9	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	36	28	10-7 10-17
TEPRA-VIG 505	16.9	31.2	27.8	2.0	2.3	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	35	28	10-3 10-18
WILSTAR 550	13.2	29.6	31.2	2.4	2.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	33	26	10-1 10-18
MEDIUM												
A 6520	13.3	32.3	32.7	1.6	1.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	33	26	10-12 10-24
CENTENNIAL	11.9	33.6	35.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	35	30	10-15 10-29
COKER 156	16.8	35.4	34.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	35	26	10-14 10-27
DAVIS	10.3	27.4	36.9	1.9	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	34	27	10-9 10-28
DELTAPINE 246	11.8	27.5	33.1	1.6	3.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	36	27	10-10 10-26
HARTZ 6383	13.1	35.7	34.9	2.4	3.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	37	31	10-14 10-31
JEFF	12.3	32.4	34.7	2.4	3.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	36	31	10-14 10-28
LEE 74	14.1	30.2	31.5	2.1	3.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	34	28	10-12 10-27
NAPB 611	14.3	35.7	32.9	2.4	3.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	34	27	10-14 10-30
RA 604	11.0	35.4	36.5	1.4	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	35	27	10-9 10-27
RA 606	12.5	30.0	34.3	2.5	2.8	1.3	1.5	1.5	1.5	37	32	10-12 10-29
SUMTER	13.5	29.7	31.2	2.4	1.3	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	28	26	10-9 10-21
S64-96	16.8	34.9	36.7	2.4	2.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	35	30	10-14 10-30
TEPRA-VIG 606	13.0	31.6	29.5	2.0	1.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	34	24	10-13 10-29
TRACY 4	14.5	30.6	28.5	2.1	2.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	32	28	10-14 10-30

CONTINUED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE

TABLE 3. PERFORMANCE OF SOYBEAN VARIETIES IN MOBILEBHN ALABAMA, 1983

BRAND-VARIETY	YIELD PER ACRE						REGIONAL AVERAGE					
	BELLE CRUSSVILLE			LONGING		SHAWERBURG		PLANT HEIGHT		MATURED DATE		
	MEAN	DATE 1	DATE 2	DATE 1	DATE 2	DATE 1	DATE 2	DATE 1	DATE 2	DATE 1	DATE 2	
LATE												
MAXIMON	13.7	32.2	38.6	1.6	1.0	1.0	1.0	35	31	10-15	10-31	
COKER 237	11.6	35.9	39.3	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	32	25	10-15	10-30	
COKER 317	11.3	32.2	34.1	1.9	1.5	1.0	1.0	36	28	10-17	11-1	
MM-507-01-7	13.5	32.4	35.6	1.6	1.0	2.0	1.0	33	25	10-13	10-30	
KA 702	11.8	35.7	39.0	2.5	2.0	1.0	1.0	33	28	10-16	10-31	
WILSTAR 790	12.9	32.1	36.0	1.8	1.0	3.0	1.0	36	29	10-14	10-31	
TEST MEANS	13.6	30.2	32.2	1.9	2.0	1.6	1.0	34	27			
L.S.D. (0.05)	2.9	5.9	5.2									
C.V. (%)	15.3	14.0	11.6									

EARLY = MATURED GROUPS IV AND V; MEDIUM = MATURED GROUP VI; LATE = MATURED GROUP VII.

TABLE 4. PERFORMANCE OF SOYBEAN VARIETIES IN CENTRAL ALABAMA, 1983

BRAND-VARIETY	YIELD PER ACRE						REGIONAL AVERAGE						
	CASH- DEN.	PRATIVILLE		SINER- IEH		LGDGING		SLAVERING		PLANT HEIGHT		MATURITY DATE	
		DAIE 1	DAIE 2	DAIE 1	DAIE 2	DAIE 1	DAIE 2	DAIE 1	DAIE 2	DAIE 1	DAIE 2	DAIE 1	DAIE 2
EARLY													
DELTA PINE 105	21.7	31.4	27.7	17.0	1.0	1.3	1.0	1.3	29	29	9-21	10-5	
DELTA PINE 345	18.4	27.6	23.8	12.3	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	28	28	9-24	10-6	
FONEST	16.4	28.5	24.5	13.5	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	25	27	9-21	10-6	
HARTZ 5370	20.4	29.2	26.8	16.7	1.0	1.3	1.0	1.3	27	24	9-26	10-6	
RA 480	13.0	17.6	24.7	6.7	1.0	2.3	1.0	1.0	31	31	9-15	9-29	
TERA-VIG 505	17.4	20.4	22.1	17.1	1.0	2.0	1.1	1.8	28	28	9-25	10-6	
WILSTAR 550	15.0	25.9	27.6	13.2	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	25	28	9-23	10-5	
MEDIUM													
AGNATECH 67	26.2	29.8	30.1	24.9	1.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	31	36	10-10	10-15	
CENTENNIAL	28.4	25.0	21.6	25.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.3	31	31	10-12	10-15	
COKEF 156	27.2	30.9	27.3	27.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	28	28	10-13	10-15	
DAVIS	21.2	25.1	25.6	26.4	1.0	1.5	1.3	1.8	32	28	10-7	10-15	
JEFF	14.2	23.7	27.5	14.9	1.0	1.0	1.4	1.3	31	33	10-9	10-16	
RA 604	10.2	27.2	28.4	8.6	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	29	30	9-30	10-10	
RA 680	28.4	23.1	24.9	26.7	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.0	32	33	10-11	10-15	
S69-96	22.7	24.7	25.4	25.6	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	29	30	10-9	10-16	
TERA-VIG 606	26.4	27.4	26.3	22.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.3	30	31	10-12	10-16	
TRACY H	22.9	28.1	23.2	22.4	1.0	1.3	1.3	1.5	29	30	10-13	10-15	

CONTINUED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE

TABLE 5. PERFORMANCE OF SOYBEAN VARIETIES IN CENTRAL ALABAMA, 1983

BRAND-VARIETY	YIELD PER ACRE				REGIONAL AVERAGE															
	C44- DEN	BALLYVILLE	SINN-	BU.	DATE 1	DATE 2	LEH	BU.	LOGGING	DATE 1	DATE 2	SMALLING	DATE 1	DATE 2	PLANT WEIGHT	DATE 1	DATE 2	MATURITY DATE	DATE 1	DATE 2
	BU.	BU.	BU.	BU.	SCORE	SCORE	SCORE	SCREE	IN.	IN.	SCREE	IN.	IN.	IN.	IN.	IN.	IN.	IN.	IN.	IN.
LATE																				
AGRI-PRO AP 70	27.7	32.8	33.7	26.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	36	37	10-14	10-18								
AGRI-PRO AP 71	25.7	32.3	28.7	24.4	1.3	1.5	1.0	1.0	30	32	10-17	10-17								
BRAXTON	32.6	38.1	34.3	31.3	1.0	1.3	1.0	1.0	33	33	10-17	10-19								
LUKEP 237	21.4	25.3	31.0	20.6	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	28	28	10-14	10-17								
LUKEP 317	26.7	25.3	25.5	25.0	1.1	2.0	1.0	1.0	33	31	10-15	10-19								
LUKEP 368	24.9	29.2	27.3	26.3	1.1	1.3	1.0	1.0	34	34	10-18	10-19								
LUKEP 488	21.2	32.6	26.1	28.3	1.0	1.8	1.0	1.0	35	35	10-19	10-20								
DELTA-PINE 497	28.7	36.6	33.3	29.4	1.2	1.5	1.0	1.0	36	35	10-17	10-18								
DUOCROP	16.2	25.3	23.9	16.4	1.3	2.0	1.0	1.5	38	40	10-7	10-13								
FOSTER	22.4	28.4	26.7	22.7	1.3	2.0	1.0	1.0	33	34	10-15	10-19								
GASOY 17	21.2	31.4	36.2	25.4	1.3	1.5	1.0	1.0	34	32	10-14	10-19								
GLVAN	23.9	29.1	26.8	26.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	31	33	10-13	10-16								
HARTZ 7126	25.2	30.6	28.5	23.7	1.1	2.0	1.0	1.0	32	36	10-13	10-17								
HB-507-01-7	28.2	31.3	31.5	23.5	1.0	1.3	1.1	1.0	29	29	10-16	10-17								
HUTTON	11.5	23.8	28.3	10.4	1.1	2.8	1.0	1.0	31	33	10-14	10-19								
KIRBY	22.2	27.9	29.8	24.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	34	34	10-19	10-21								
MCNAIR 770	20.9	28.4	27.0	25.6	1.0	1.5	1.2	1.0	30	29	10-17	10-16								
NAPB 705	26.7	31.5	27.9	26.3	1.2	2.0	1.0	1.0	31	32	10-16	10-19								
RA 702	18.4	24.6	31.2	16.3	1.0	1.8	1.1	1.0	30	31	10-13	10-17								
RA 801	11.5	24.4	28.8	12.0	1.1	2.3	1.0	1.0	33	34	10-13	10-19								
RANSOM	27.4	26.2	30.0	26.3	1.0	1.8	1.0	1.0	30	32	10-14	10-17								
TERRA-VIG 708	20.2	26.8	28.0	15.0	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	30	32	10-12	10-17								
WRIGHT	28.9	31.0	30.4	26.4	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	32	33	10-13	10-17								
TEST MEANS	22.6	28.1	27.8	21.3	1.1	1.5	1.0	1.1	31	32										
L.S.D. (.05)	8.1	5.4	6.6	8.9																
C.V. (%)	25.5	13.6	11.9	29.8																

FAIRLY = MATURITY GROUPS IV AND VI; MEDIUM = MATURITY GROUP VII; LATE = MATURITY GROUPS VII AND VIII.

TABLE 5. PERFORMANCE OF SOYBEAN VARIETIES IN SOUTHERN ALABAMA - 1983

BRAND-VARIETY	YIELD PER ACRE			JUDGING		REGIONAL AVERAGE		PLANT HEIGHT		MATURITY DATE	
	BREEDING		HEAD-	DATE 1	DATE 2	DATE 1	DATE 2	DATE 1	DATE 2	DATE 1	DATE 2
	DALE 1	DALE 2	LAND	BU.	BU.	BU.	BU.	BU.	BU.	IN.	IN.
EARLY											
AGRATECH 67	42.3	19.0	33.9	0.8	1.0	0.5	1.0	29	21	-	-
CENTENNIAL	40.6	21.2	34.4	0.9	1.0	0.5	1.0	31	18	-	-
COKER 156	46.3	6.9	33.9	0.5	1.0	0.5	1.0	26	13	-	-
DAVIS	48.5	23.0	27.5	1.0	1.0	0.5	1.5	31	19	-	-
DELTAPINE 105	41.6	17.0	27.1	0.8	1.0	0.5	1.0	28	19	-	-
DELTAPINE 345	36.0	14.1	25.7	0.5	1.0	0.5	1.0	30	19	-	-
DELTAPINE 506	37.3	17.6	27.1	0.9	1.0	0.5	1.0	31	20	-	-
FORREST	29.3	14.4	25.0	0.5	1.0	0.5	1.0	23	17	-	-
JEFF	42.0	20.7	29.5	0.9	1.0	0.5	1.0	30	20	-	-
NAPB 611	27.9	13.6	32.9	0.5	1.0	0.5	1.0	22	17	-	-
PA 636	45.2	17.6	24.9	0.6	1.0	0.5	1.0	29	19	-	-
PA 680	44.6	22.7	32.6	0.8	1.0	0.5	1.0	32	19	-	-
TEPPA-VIG 606	44.6	12.7	25.3	0.8	1.0	0.6	1.0	29	17	-	-
TRACY H	41.0	19.7	25.8	0.8	1.3	0.3	2.3	30	20	-	-
MEDIUM											
A 7372	44.6	25.7	29.1	0.5	1.0	0.5	1.0	26	18	-	-
AGRI-PRO AP 70	46.0	18.2	33.1	0.8	1.0	0.5	1.0	34	20	-	-
BRAXTON	53.5	22.9	30.8	0.8	1.0	0.5	1.0	35	21	-	-
COKER 317	40.5	21.4	30.0	1.5	1.5	0.5	1.0	33	21	-	-
DELTAPINE 417	50.6	18.5	28.2	0.9	1.0	0.5	1.0	37	21	-	-
DUCCRIP	37.9	23.5	19.1	2.0	1.0	0.6	1.0	44	22	-	-
GASOY 17	50.7	25.5	33.7	1.0	1.0	0.5	1.0	34	21	-	-
GK 120	46.2	19.5	32.6	0.6	1.0	0.5	1.0	31	18	-	-
HARTZ 7126	41.5	21.7	31.3	1.4	1.0	0.5	1.0	32	19	-	-
MCNAIR 700	56.0	23.4	29.3	0.6	1.0	0.5	1.0	28	19	-	-
MCNAIR 770	46.8	26.2	29.7	1.0	1.0	0.5	1.0	28	20	-	-
NAPD 705	44.6	22.3	33.3	1.3	1.0	0.5	1.0	32	20	-	-
RA 702	49.1	22.5	34.0	0.5	1.0	0.5	1.0	30	18	-	-
RANSOM	49.4	20.9	27.3	0.9	1.0	0.5	1.0	32	19	-	-
S72-60	49.4	25.2	30.4	1.8	1.3	0.5	1.3	33	22	-	-
TEPPA-VIG 700	44.0	22.3	25.1	0.8	1.0	0.5	1.0	29	22	-	-
WILSTAR 790	46.3	24.6	30.2	1.0	1.0	0.5	1.0	33	21	-	-
WRIGHT	46.0	21.8	30.9	1.1	1.0	0.5	1.0	30	20	-	-

CONTINUED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE

TABLE 5. PERFORMANCE OF SOYBEAN VARIETIES IN SOUTHERN ALABAMA - 1983

BRAND-VARIETY	YIELD PER ACRE				REGIONAL AVERAGE							
	OPENING HEAD		LODGING		SHATTERING		PLANT HEIGHT		Maturity Date			
	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	LAND BU.	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 IN.	DATE 2 IN.	DATE 1 DATE 2	DATE 1 DATE 2	
LATE												
COBB	53.2	37.9	32.8	1.6	1.0	0.5	1.0	38	24	-	-	-
CUKER 330	53.0	19.2	27.4	1.4	1.3	0.5	1.0	35	21	-	-	-
CUKER 360	46.6	26.3	32.2	1.0	1.3	0.5	1.0	34	22	-	-	-
DWELLING	47.3	27.0	34.2	1.3	1.3	0.5	1.0	39	22	-	-	-
FISTER	49.2	20.8	36.4	1.0	1.0	0.5	1.0	33	20	-	-	-
JOHNSTON	53.0	19.7	32.9	0.8	1.0	0.5	1.0	29	17	-	-	-
KIRBY	45.7	21.3	30.8	0.9	1.3	0.5	1.0	33	24	-	-	-
NA AUL	37.2	22.2	29.3	1.3	1.3	0.5	1.0	34	23	-	-	-
TEST MEANS	44.9	21.1	30.8	0.9	1.1	0.5	1.0	31	20			
L.S.D. (.05)	8.5	7.0	5.1									
C.V. (%)	13.5	23.6	12.0									

EARLY = MATURITY GROUPS V AND VI; MEDIUM = MATURITY GROUP VII; LATE = MATURITY GROUP VIII.

TABLE 6. PERFORMANCE OF SOYBEAN VARIETIES ON SUMTER SOIL, MARION JUNCTION, ALABAMA, 1983

BRAND-VARIETY	YIELD PER ACRE		LODGING		SPLITTING		REGIONAL AVERAGE		
	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 IN.	DATE 2 IN.	MATURITY DATE DATE 1 DATE 2
EARLY									
BAY	24.4	10.3	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.0	24	15	9-16 10-23
BEDFORD	16.6	8.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	27	16	10-1 10-25
DELTA PINE 105	23.8	11.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	23	17	9-22 10-19
DELTA PINE 345	23.5	12.6	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	23	17	9-30 10-16
ESSFX	19.6	7.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	16	13	9-8 10-20
FOREST	15.2	6.6	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	19	13	9-27 10-29
RA 48J	22.5	6.9	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	30	15	9-16 10-24
TERRA-VIG 505	23.0	10.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	23	15	9-29 10-27
MEDIUM									
A 6520	18.3	9.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	22	14	10-17 10-25
AGRATECH 67	19.6	10.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	27	18	10-12 10-24
CENTENNIAL	17.9	9.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	27	17	10-20 11-7
COKER 156	19.6	12.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	22	14	10-16 10-19
DAVIS	26.7	14.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	31	17	10-17 10-21
DELTA PINE 506	24.9	12.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	29	19	10-17 10-22
JEFF	12.4	12.5	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	25	18	10-13 10-25
LEE 74	18.3	11.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	21	16	10-19 10-28
RA 604	12.5	14.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	22	18	10-2 10-30
RA 600	17.7	12.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	26	18	10-20 10-28
TERRA-VIG 606	22.7	14.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	28	17	10-19 10-27
TRACY H	18.5	11.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	25	16	11-1 10-26

CONTINUED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE

TABLE 6. PERFORMANCE OF SOYBEAN VARIETIES ON SUMTER SOIL, MARION JUNCTION, ALABAMA, 1983

VARIETY	YIELD PER ACRE		LOOSING				SHATTERING				REGIONAL AVERAGE			
	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 IN.	DATE 2 IN.	DATE 1 IN.	DATE 2 IN.	DATE 1 DATE	DATE 2 DATE	DATE 1 DATE	DATE 2 DATE
LATE														
AGRI-PRO AP 70	26.8	12.1	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	35	18	10-19	11-2				
BRAXION	23.8	16.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	32	19	10-20	10-31				
CF88	22.4	19.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	34	23	10-20	10-31				
COKEF 237	14.6	13.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	26	16	10-13	10-20				
COKEP 317	18.1	10.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	31	18	10-19	11-12				
COKEP 488	18.8	13.5	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	32	18	10-22	11-6				
DELTA PINE 497	19.2	12.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	28	16	10-25	11-11				
DUNDEUP	19.9	10.0	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	38	16	10-18	11-14				
FESTER	15.5	9.3	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	24	15	10-21	11-13				
GASOY 17	22.6	6.9	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	36	17	10-19	11-7				
GUAN	16.2	11.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	25	19	10-20	11-5				
HARTZ 7126	15.4	5.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	28	16	10-20	11-11				
HR-507-D1-7	25.9	9.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	27	14	10-18	10-31				
HUTTON	6.3	19.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	29	20	10-10	10-22				
KIPBY	13.7	5.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	25	15	10-28	11-12				
RA 702	12.3	9.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	27	15	10-14	11-6				
RA 801	7.9	15.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	28	20	10-13	11-6				
RANSOM	25.4	10.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	26	16	10-19	11-5				
WILSTAR 790	17.9	14.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	29	19	10-18	11-7				
WIGHTI	21.2	11.2	1.0	1.3	1.0	1.0	32	18	10-21	11-4				
TEST MEANS	19.4	11.3	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	27	17						
L.S.D. (1.05)	5.4	5.7												
C.V. (%)	28.4	28.1												

EARLY = MATURITY GROUPS IV AND VI; MEDIUM = MATURITY GROUP VII; LATE = MATURITY GROUP VIII AND VIII.

TABLE 7. PERFORMANCE OF SOYBEAN VARIETIES ON VALENT SULF MARION JUNCTION, ALABAMA, 1983

BRAND-VARIETY	YIELD PER ACRE		LODGING		SWAYING		REGIONAL AVERAGE	
	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 IN.	DATE 2 IN.
EARLY								
BAY	54.1	33.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	27	20
BEDFORD	49.7	32.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	31	23
DELTAPINE 105	58.3	36.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	28	22
DELTAPINE 345	48.1	26.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	26	20
ESSEX	46.0	27.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	17	16
FORREST	51.5	29.6	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	24	20
RA 600	47.1	35.3	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	38	25
TERRA-VIG 505	57.0	28.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	27	21
MEDIUM								
A 6520	51.2	32.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	27	20
AGRATECH 67	53.1	33.1	2.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	35	23
CENTENNIAL	53.4	37.9	1.3	1.5	1.0	1.0	34	24
COKER 156	56.9	34.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	32	21
DAVIS	50.6	36.0	1.3	1.3	1.0	1.0	35	21
DELTAPINE 506	50.6	34.6	1.3	1.3	1.0	1.0	33	23
JEFF	45.1	35.4	2.0	1.3	1.0	1.0	35	24
LEE 74	45.2	34.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	30	20
RA 604	36.3	34.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	29	22
RA 680	52.1	37.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	35	24
TERRA-VIG 606	59.3	34.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	34	23
TRACY M	53.1	34.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	29	21

CONTINUED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE

TABLE I. PERFORMANCE OF SOYBEAN VARIETIES ON VAIDEN SOIL, MARION JUNCTION, ALABAMA, 1983

BRAND-VARIETY	YIELD PER ACRE		REGIONAL AVERAGE											
	DATE 1 DATE 2		DROUING		SHATTERING		PLANT HEIGHT		MATURITY DATE					
	BU.	BU.	DATE 1	DATE 2	DATE 1	DATE 2	IN.	IN.	DATE 1	DATE 2	DATE 1	DATE 2	DATE 1	DATE 2
LATE														
AGRI-PRO AP 70	48.2	40.1	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	44	24	10-20	11-1				
BRAXTON	59.2	39.6	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	39	26	10-22	11-2				
COBB	45.8	43.6	2.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	46	30	11-2	11-7				
COKER 237	48.7	37.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	34	20	10-17	10-26				
COKER 387	43.4	34.3	2.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	39	24	10-19	10-31				
COKER 488	46.8	40.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	41	29	10-22	11-3				
DELTA-PINE 497	54.8	36.7	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	38	22	10-19	11-3				
DUUCROP	41.0	31.5	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	40	28	10-19	11-4				
FOSTER	46.3	38.3	3.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	39	28	10-20	11-2				
GASOY 17	47.9	40.9	2.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	40	25	10-20	10-29				
GOVAN	40.7	40.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	37	25	10-20	10-20				
HARTZ 7126	50.4	43.5	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	37	25	10-21	11-3				
HB-507-D1-7	56.5	40.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	35	19	10-18	10-26				
HUTTON	19.9	39.2	2.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	35	25	10-10	11-1				
KIRBY	45.3	37.8	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	41	25	10-25	11-3				
RA 702	29.6	36.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	33	22	10-13	10-29				
RA 801	20.1	39.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	36	27	10-11	11-1				
RANSOM	54.0	40.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	32	23	10-20	11-2				
WILSTAR 790	31.2	39.3	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	38	24	10-17	11-2				
WRIGHT	54.3	41.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	37	25	10-21	10-29				
TEST MEANS	47.7	36.2	1.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	34	23						
L.S.D. (.05)	6.4	13.2												
C.V. (%)	19.2	11.5												

EARLY = MATURITY GROUPS IV AND V; MEDIUM = MATURITY GROUP VI; LATE = MATURITY GROUP VII AND VIII.

TABLE 8. PERFORMANCE OF SOYBEAN VARIETIES AT FAIRFLOODE, ALABAMA, 1963

BRAND-VARIETY	YIELD PER ACRE		LODDING		SPLITTING		REGIONAL AVERAGE	
	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	PLANT HEIGHT IN.	MATURED DATE DATE 1 DATE 2
EARLY								
AGRATECH 67	26.5	-	0.0	-	0.0	-	24	-
BEDFORD	29.7	-	0.0	-	0.0	-	27	-
CENTENNIAL	42.1	-	0.0	-	0.0	-	30	-
COKER 156	41.3	-	0.0	-	0.0	-	26	-
DAVIS	45.6	-	0.3	-	0.0	-	29	-
DEL TAPINE 105	44.2	-	0.0	-	0.0	-	27	-
DEL TAPINE 506	46.7	-	0.0	-	0.0	-	30	-
FORREST	24.8	-	0.0	-	0.0	-	20	-
JEFF	45.2	-	0.5	-	0.0	-	31	-
S69-96	50.2	-	0.3	-	0.0	-	29	-
THACY 4	42.8	-	0.3	-	0.0	-	30	-
MEDIUM								
A 7372	48.9	26.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	27	19
AGRI-PRO AP 70	51.1	28.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33	24
AGRI-PRO AP 71	48.6	-	0.5	-	0.3	-	33	-
DRAXTON	50.4	29.1	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	34	24
COKER 317	46.8	-	0.3	-	0.4	-	36	-
DEL TAPINE 417	47.0	-	0.3	-	0.0	-	35	-
DEL TAPINE 497	50.1	22.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	31	20
DUO-CRIP	38.3	34.0	1.0	1.5	0.5	0.0	44	30
GASOY 17	48.9	-	0.3	-	0.0	-	36	-
GK 120	44.4	23.9	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	29	22
MCNAIR 770	51.3	-	0.0	-	0.0	-	28	-
NAPB 705	44.9	-	0.0	-	0.0	-	33	-
RA 702	52.9	26.1	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	30	20
RANSON	47.5	-	0.0	-	0.0	-	27	-
ST2-60	49.7	20.0	0.3	2.3	0.0	0.0	34	23
TERRA-VIG 708	44.0	-	0.0	-	0.0	-	28	-
WRIGHT	50.9	-	0.3	7	0.0	-	30	-
CONTINUED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE								

TABLE 8. PERFORMANCE OF SOYBEAN VARIETIES AT FAIRBINE, ALABAMA, 1983

BRAND-VARIETY	YIELD PER ACRE		LUDGING		SHATTERING		REGIONAL AVERAGE	
	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 IN.	DATE 2 IN.
LATE								
CIRR	50.3	33.9	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	40	26
LUKER 338	49.3	27.3	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	38	24
COKER 368	49.1	21.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	37	22
COKER 488	51.3	23.4	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	38	22
WUHLING	52.9	26.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	35	21
FOSTER	47.1	23.7	0.5	1.0	0.0	0.0	34	20
HUTTON	47.5	23.5	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	33	21
JOHNSTON	38.2	18.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	23	17
JUPITER R	39.9	-	3.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	40	34
KIRBY	50.4	22.4	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	37	20
KA 801	50.1	26.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	38	21
SANTA ROSA R	52.8	31.2	3.3	3.5	0.0	0.0	41	27
TEST MEANS	45.9	26.0	0.3	1.0	0.0	0.0	32	23
L.S.D. (0.05)	7.3	4.4						
C.V. (%)	14.2	18.8						

EARLY = MATURITY GROUPS V AND VII; MEDIUM = MATURITY GROUP VIII; LATE = MATURITY GROUPS VIII AND IX.

TABLE 9. PERFORMANCE OF SOYBEAN VARIETIES IN NORTHEAST ALABAMA 3-YEAR SUMMARY

GRAND-VARIETY	YIELD PER ACRE						3-YEAR AVERAGE						
	1983		2-YR. AV.		3-YR. AV.		LODGING		SHATTERING		PLANT HEIGHT		
	DATE 1 BU. ^a	DATE 2 BU. ^a	DATE 1 BU. ^a	DATE 2 BU. ^a	DATE 1 BU. ^a	DATE 2 BU. ^a	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 Slope	DATE 2 Slope	DATE 1 IN. ^b	DATE 2 IN. ^b	DATE 1 DATE 2 DATE 1 DATE 2 DATE 1 DATE 2
EARLY													
A 5474	18.8	27.6	29.1	36.7	33.5	-	2.1	-	1.1	-	34	-	9-20
A 5618	20.8	29.9	32.4	39.3	35.4	-	1.8	-	1.2	-	34	-	9-23
A 5939	18.4	28.6	25.2	33.5	28.9	-	2.6	-	1.3	-	36	-	9-26
BEDFORD	19.6	26.9	31.6	32.9	36.3	34.3	3.0	2.8	1.0	1.0	39	34	9-20 10-15
COKER 355	19.7	29.4	27.4	34.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COKER 798-5	21.4	25.4	25.1	35.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COKER 80-764	22.7	32.1	32.6	39.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELTAPINE 105	26.1	31.4	38.7	38.9	42.6	39.5	2.6	2.8	1.0	1.0	37	33	9-34 10-15
DELTAPINE 345	21.3	31.6	31.5	36.7	37.0	38.2	2.2	2.4	1.0	1.0	37	33	9-29 10-12
ESSEX	20.7	27.0	32.6	38.4	34.8	38.9	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.0	25	24	9-18 10-11
FORREST	21.2	30.5	32.6	37.4	38.8	38.7	2.0	2.4	1.1	1.0	34	33	9-25 10-12
HARTZ 5171	23.1	33.3	29.4	36.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTZ 5370	23.3	31.9	31.7	35.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MITCHELL 450	13.6	25.4	23.0	28.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RA 480	17.6	28.3	28.8	35.9	33.1	-	2.2	-	1.1	-	37	-	9-15
RA 502	22.1	33.4	32.9	35.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SHELTON	20.4	28.7	28.9	33.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRA-VIG 505	24.1	27.8	28.8	38.0	33.0	37.5	2.9	2.8	1.3	1.0	36	33	10-2 10-17
WILSTAR 550	21.4	31.2	32.8	35.7	37.7	37.2	2.6	2.5	1.0	1.0	35	32	9-29 10-14
MEDIUM													
A 6520	22.8	32.7	29.8	36.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CENTENNIAL	22.7	35.0	27.9	34.0	32.6	33.6	2.5	2.1	1.0	1.0	38	36	10-12 10-21
COKER 156	26.1	34.0	32.6	37.0	36.5	37.3	2.0	1.5	1.0	1.1	36	31	10-9 10-20
DAVIS	19.1	36.9	21.7	35.5	33.5	35.8	3.0	2.1	1.0	1.0	37	33	10-7 10-22
DELTAPINE 246	19.7	33.1	27.0	32.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTZ 6383	24.4	34.9	29.0	37.7	32.0	-	2.4	-	1.0	-	37	-	10-13 -
JEFF	22.3	34.7	30.4	36.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEE 74	22.2	31.5	20.0	34.8	33.1	34.9	2.4	3.1	1.0	1.0	33	31	10-8 10-21
NAPPI 6111	25.0	32.9	29.3	35.0	34.3	-	2.4	-	1.0	-	35	-	10-10 -
RA 604	23.2	36.5	31.3	36.2	36.4	-	2.4	-	1.0	-	38	-	10-6 -
RA 606	21.4	34.3	28.2	35.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUMTER	21.6	31.2	27.1	35.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
S69-96	25.8	34.1	28.9	39.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRA-VIG 606	22.3	29.5	30.1	36.1	34.6	-	2.3	-	1.0	-	37	-	10-10 -
TRACY H	22.5	28.5	31.5	33.8	34.4	34.7	2.7	2.3	1.2	1.0	35	32	10-7 10-19

CONTINUED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE

TABLE 9. PERFORMANCE OF SUGAR CANE VARIETIES IN MUSCLESHU, ALABAMA, 2-YEAR SUMMARY

BRAND-VARIETY	YIELD PER ACRE						3-YEAR AVERAGE								DATE	
	1981		2-YR. AV.		3-YR. AV.		LODDING		SPLITTING		PLANT WEIGHT		Maturity		DATE	
	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 Score	DATE 2 Score	DATE 1 Score	DATE 2 Score	DATE 1 IN.	DATE 2 IN.	DATE 1 IN.	DATE 2 IN.	DATE 1 IN.	DATE 2 IN.
LATE																
SHAXTON	23.0	38.6	29.7	35.1	33.5	35.2	2.2	1.6	1.0	1.0	40	35	10-14	10-25		
COKER 237	23.0	39.3	27.4	37.0	-	36.1	-	1.4	-	1.0	-	30	-	10-25		
COKER 387	21.7	34.1	28.1	36.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HB-SU7-DE-7	23.8	35.4	27.9	36.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
KA 702	23.8	39.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HILSTAD 790	22.5	36.3	25.4	36.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TEST MEANS	21.9	32.2	29.6	35.0	34.9	36.7	2.4	2.2	1.1	1.0	36	32				
L.S.D. (.05)	4.1	3.0	6.2	5.3	6.2	4.4										
C.V. (%)	11.1	11.6	9.6	6.0	8.1	5.2										

EARLY = MATURITY GROUPS IV AND VI; MEDIUM = MATURITY GROUP VII; LATE = MATURITY GROUP VIII.

TABLE 10. PERFORMANCE OF SOYBEAN VARIETIES IN CENTRAL ALABAMA: 3-YEAR SUMMARY

BRAND-VARIETY	YIELD PER ACRE												3-YEAR AVERAGE						
	1983		2-YR. AV.		3-YR. AV.		LODGING		SHATTERING		PLANT HEIGHT		MATURITY RAIL						
	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 IN.	DATE 2 IN.	DATE 1 DAIL	DATE 2 DAIL	DATE 1 DAIL	DATE 2 DAIL	DATE 1 DAIL	DATE 2 DAIL	
EARLY																			
DELTA-PINE 105	23.4	27.7	24.9	24.3	25.8	-	1.1	-	1.1	-	28	-	9-14	-	-	-	-	-	
DELTA-PINE 745	19.4	23.8	21.4	21.6	24.2	-	1.1	-	1.0	-	28	-	9-21	-	-	-	-	-	
FIRKESI	19.5	24.5	25.0	22.6	24.8	-	1.1	-	1.0	-	26	-	9-16	-	-	-	-	-	
HARIZ 5170	22.1	24.8	24.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
RA 40-1	12.3	24.7	17.8	22.6	21.3	-	1.1	-	1.5	-	33	-	9-14	-	-	-	-	-	
TERRA-VIG 5105	21.1	22.1	23.8	-	21.8	-	1.3	-	1.1	-	27	-	9-21	-	-	-	-	-	
WILSTAR 5510	18.0	27.6	19.2	-	22.9	-	1.0	-	1.1	-	25	-	9-21	-	-	-	-	-	
MEDIUM																			
AGRAFCH 67	26.9	30.1	26.7	26.1	27.4	-	1.0	-	1.0	-	34	-	10-6	-	-	-	-	-	
CENTENNIAL	26.4	21.6	24.2	18.5	24.1	-	1.0	-	1.0	-	30	-	10-9	-	-	-	-	-	
COKER 156	28.4	27.3	25.6	24.7	24.5	-	1.0	-	1.0	-	29	-	10-5	-	-	-	-	-	
DAVIS	24.2	25.6	24.7	22.4	24.9	-	1.5	-	1.4	-	34	-	9-29	-	-	-	-	-	
JETTE	17.6	27.5	19.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
RA 604	15.3	28.4	18.0	23.9	21.2	-	1.1	-	1.0	-	30	-	9-27	-	-	-	-	-	
RA 680	26.1	24.9	21.3	21.3	23.4	-	1.0	-	1.1	-	32	-	10-8	-	-	-	-	-	
S69-96	24.3	25.4	28.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
TERRA-VIG 616	25.3	26.3	21.7	23.9	23.5	-	1.0	-	1.0	-	32	-	10-7	-	-	-	-	-	
TRACY II	24.5	23.2	25.4	24.0	24.0	-	1.1	-	1.1	-	29	-	10-4	-	-	-	-	-	

CONTINUED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE

TABLE 10. PERFORMANCE OF SOYBEAN VARIETIES IN CENTRAL ALABAMA - 3-YEAR SUMMARY

BRAND-VARIETY	YIELD PER ACRE						3-YEAR AVERAGE							
	1962		2-YR. AV.		3-YR. AV.		BUDGING		SPLITTING		PLANT WEIGHT		MATURITY	DATE
	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 IN.	DATE 2 IN.	DATE 1	DATE 2
LATE														
AGRI-PHO AP 70	28.4	33.7	22.8	24.3	22.9	-	1.3	-	1.0	-	39	-	10-12	-
AGRI-PHO AP 71	27.4	28.7	23.5	22.9	23.7	-	1.4	-	1.0	-	31	-	10-10	-
BPAKTON	34.0	34.3	27.1	26.1	25.6	-	1.1	-	1.0	-	34	-	10-14	-
COKER 237	22.4	31.8	22.8	26.6	22.7	-	1.1	-	1.0	-	29	-	10-9	-
COKER 317	25.6	25.5	20.6	19.7	21.4	-	1.3	-	1.1	-	34	-	10-14	-
LOKFP 360	26.8	27.3	22.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COKEH 480	29.4	26.8	23.1	19.9	23.5	-	1.2	-	1.0	-	37	-	10-11	-
DELTA-PINE 497	31.5	33.3	26.2	25.8	24.8	-	1.1	-	1.0	-	38	-	10-14	-
DUNICRUP	18.6	23.9	18.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FUSTER	24.5	26.7	20.4	21.2	21.2	-	1.4	-	1.0	-	33	-	10-13	-
GASHY 17	28.0	36.2	24.6	26.5	23.8	-	1.5	-	1.0	-	36	-	10-12	-
GCYAN	26.6	26.8	23.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARIZ 7126	26.5	28.5	29.1	-	26.9	-	1.2	-	1.0	-	32	-	10-12	-
HR-507-DL-7	27.7	31.5	27.1	23.1	25.9	-	1.1	-	1.0	-	30	-	10-13	-
HUTCHIN	15.2	28.3	15.9	20.5	17.5	-	1.4	-	1.0	-	33	-	10-14	-
KIRBY	25.0	29.8	20.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MCNAIR 870	27.6	27.0	25.0	22.3	26.8	-	1.1	-	1.1	-	30	-	10-15	-
NAPB 705	28.2	27.9	28.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RA 702	19.8	31.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RA 801	16.2	28.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RANSOM	26.7	30.0	24.1	25.3	23.1	-	1.1	-	1.0	-	30	-	10-9	-
TENPA-VIG 708	20.6	28.0	22.4	21.2	23.1	-	1.3	-	1.0	-	30	-	10-8	-
WRIGHT	28.8	30.4	24.0	22.9	24.4	-	1.5	-	1.0	-	34	-	10-11	-
TEST MEANS	26.0	27.8	23.3	23.1	23.7	-	1.2	-	1.1	-	32	-		
L.S.D. (1.05)	5.5	3.6	9.2	4.4	8.1	-								
C.V. (%)	20.1	11.9	13.3	9.5	8.6	-								

EARLY = MATURITY GROUPS IV AND VI; MEDIUM = MATURITY GROUP VII; LATE = MATURITY GROUPS VIII AND VIII.

TABLE II. PERFORMANCE OF SOYBEAN VARIETIES IN SOUTHERN ALABAMA: 3-YEAR SUMMARY

BRAND-VARIETY	YIELD PER ACRE												3-YEAR AVERAGE					
	1981		2-YR. AV.		3-YR. AV.		LODGING		SPLITTING		PLANT HEIGHT		MATURITY DATE		-		-	
	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 SCOPE	DATE 2 SCOPE	IN. IN.	JN. JN.	DATE 1 -	DATE 2 -	DATE 1 -	DATE 2 -	DATE 1 -	DATE 2 -
EARLY																		
AGFA-TECH 67	38.1	19.8	40.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CENTENNIAL	37.5	21.2	38.1	27.7	41.1	30.0	1.1	1.1	0.8	1.0	32	23	10-19	10-12	-	-	-	-
COKER 156	40.1	6.9	42.5	14.0	45.2	19.1	0.9	1.1	0.8	1.0	28	17	11-1	10-6	-	-	-	-
DAVIS	38.0	23.8	42.1	32.2	44.7	33.6	1.1	1.5	0.8	1.2	31	25	10-15	10-7	-	-	-	-
DELTAPINE 105	34.4	17.8	37.0	27.3	40.9	29.7	1.0	1.2	0.9	1.0	30	22	10-13	9-29	-	-	-	-
DELTAPINE 345	31.2	14.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELTAPINE 506	32.2	17.6	34.5	-	37.7	-	1.6	-	0.8	-	29	-	11-3	-	-	-	-	-
FORREST	27.6	14.4	32.4	23.2	34.2	24.9	0.9	1.2	0.8	1.0	26	19	10-13	9-29	-	-	-	-
JEFF	35.7	20.7	39.3	29.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NAPB 611	30.4	13.6	33.9	23.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RA 606	35.0	17.6	37.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RA 680	38.6	22.7	38.9	29.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRA-VIEG 606	35.0	12.7	38.4	25.6	40.8	-	0.9	-	1.0	-	31	-	10-19	-	-	-	-	-
TRACY H	33.4	19.7	34.6	23.7	37.5	25.3	1.0	1.3	0.9	1.4	31	22	10-15	10-2	-	-	-	-
MEDIUM																		
A 7372	36.9	25.7	41.6	32.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AGRI-PRO AP 70	39.6	18.2	42.1	-	45.4	-	1.4	-	0.8	-	35	-	11-1	-	-	-	-	-
BRAXTON	42.1	22.9	43.9	31.5	46.3	33.4	1.1	1.2	0.8	1.0	35	25	10-23	10-14	-	-	-	-
COKER 317	35.3	21.4	39.0	22.8	40.6	-	1.6	-	0.8	-	34	-	10-23	-	-	-	-	-
DELTAPINE 417	39.6	18.5	42.4	31.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DUNICROP	28.5	23.5	33.6	26.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GASUY 17	42.2	25.5	44.3	31.3	47.3	34.6	1.7	1.2	0.8	1.0	33	26	10-23	10-13	-	-	-	-
GK 120	39.6	19.5	41.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTZ 7126	36.4	21.7	38.2	22.3	40.4	-	1.0	-	0.8	-	35	-	10-10	-	-	-	-	-
MCNAIR 700	42.6	23.4	45.4	21.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MCNAIR 770	30.3	26.2	41.3	-	40.8	-	1.2	-	0.8	-	29	-	11-4	-	-	-	-	-
NAPB 705	39.0	22.3	42.2	27.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RA 702	41.6	22.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PANSUM	38.4	20.9	39.3	27.1	43.1	30.5	1.1	1.3	0.8	1.0	32	21	10-23	10-16	-	-	-	-
S 12-60	39.9	25.2	41.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRA-VIEG 708	34.6	22.3	39.1	28.1	42.3	31.7	1.1	1.3	0.8	1.0	33	25	10-21	10-16	-	-	-	-
HILSTAR 790	38.2	24.6	40.0	27.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WRIGHT	38.9	21.8	40.9	30.9	45.0	32.5	1.0	1.5	0.9	1.0	33	25	10-22	10-13	-	-	-	-

CONTINUED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE

TABLE II. PERFORMANCE OF SOYBEAN VARIETIES IN SOUTHERN ALABAMA. 3-YEAR SUMMARY

GRAND-VARIETY	YIELD PER ACRE						3-YEAR AVERAGE							
	1983		2-YR. AV.		3-YR. AV.		LOGGING		SHATTERING		PLANT HEIGHT		MATURITY DATE	
	DATE 1 BU. ^a	DATE 2 BU. ^a	DATE 1 BU. ^a	DATE 2 BU. ^a	DATE 1 BU. ^a	DATE 2 BU. ^a	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 IN. ^a	DATE 2 IN. ^a	DATE 1 IN. ^a	DATE 2 IN. ^a
LATE														
CURB	43.0	37.9	49.8	38.0	48.1	39.9	1.5	1.3	0.8	1.0	37	29	10-30	10-26
CUKER 330	40.4	19.2	42.9	-	44.6	-	1.6	-	0.8	-	35	-	11-2	-
COKEB 368	39.4	26.3	42.6	32.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DOWLING	41.8	27.8	43.7	-	45.8	-	1.4	-	0.8	-	36	-	11-4	-
FHISTLE	42.8	20.8	44.1	32.1	45.5	-	1.9	-	0.8	-	33	-	10-25	-
JWINTON	43.0	19.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KIRBY	38.3	21.3	36.5	34.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RA BUI	33.2	22.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEST MEANS	37.5	21.1	40.2	28.2	42.8	30.4	1.3	1.2	0.8	1.0	32	23		
L.S.D. (.05)	7.7	6.0	8.4	7.8	9.9	7.5								
C.V. (%)	10.5	23.6	9.2	16.6	8.5	17.7								

EARLY = MATURITY GROUPS V AND VII; MEDIUM = MATURITY GROUP VIII; LATE = MATURITY GROUP VIII.

TABLE 12. PERFORMANCE OF SOYBEAN VARIETIES ON SUMTER SOIL, MARION JUNCTION, ALABAMA, 3-YEAR SUMMARY

BRAND-VARIETY	YIELD PER ACRE								3-YEAR AVERAGE							
	1981		2-YR. AV.		3-YR. AV.		LODGING		SWELLING		PLANT HEIGHT		MATURITY DATE			
	DATE 1	DATE 2	DATE 1	DATE 2	DATE 1	DATE 2	DATE 1	DATE 2	DATE 1	DATE 2	DATE 1	DATE 2	DATE 1	DATE 2	DATE 1	DATE 2
	BU.	BU.	BU.	BU.	BU.	BU.	BU.	BU.	IN.	IN.	IN.	IN.	IN.	IN.	IN.	IN.
LATE																
AGRI-PRO AP 70	26.0	12.1	23.5	-	21.6	-	1.5	-	1.0	-	36	-	10-11	-		
BRAXTON	33.0	16.0	30.0	-	27.1	-	1.0	-	1.0	-	31	-	10-15	-		
CORB	22.4	19.3	18.0	-	19.4	-	1.0	-	1.5	-	33	-	10-15	-		
COKE 237	14.4	13.3	15.7	-	13.5	-	1.0	-	1.0	-	24	-	10-3	-		
COKE 317	18.1	10.7	17.6	-	16.7	-	1.3	-	1.0	-	29	-	10-10	-		
COKE 488	18.0	13.5	14.8	-	17.9	-	1.2	-	1.0	-	31	-	10-16	-		
DELTA PINE 497	19.2	12.3	20.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DULICROP	19.9	10.0	23.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FOSTER	15.5	9.3	14.1	-	13.0	-	1.5	-	1.0	-	26	-	10-14	-		
GASOLY 17	22.6	8.9	19.1	-	18.1	-	1.2	-	1.0	-	33	-	10-10	-		
GOVAN	16.2	11.4	16.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTZ 7126	15.4	5.1	19.3	-	15.4	-	1.0	-	1.0	-	25	-	10-10	-		
HIB-507-01-7	25.9	9.0	24.2	-	19.7	-	1.0	-	1.0	-	23	-	10-10	-		
HUTTON	6.3	19.9	6.2	-	7.1	-	1.2	-	1.0	-	29	-	10-2	-		
KID-UY	13.7	5.4	14.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KA 702	12.3	9.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RA 801	7.9	15.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RANSOM	25.4	10.2	24.2	-	21.0	-	1.0	-	1.0	-	24	-	10-8	-		
WE STAR 790	17.9	14.7	12.2	-	12.0	-	1.1	-	1.0	-	27	-	10-8	-		
WRIGHT	27.2	11.2	23.0	-	23.0	-	1.7	-	1.0	-	28	-	10-12	-		
TEST MEANS	19.4	11.3	20.2	-	18.4	-	1.2	-	1.1	-	25	-				
L.S.D. (1.05)	5.4	5.7	5.1	-	5.3	-										
C.V. (%)	28.4	28.1	25.3	-	23.9	-										

EARLY = MATURITY GROUPS IV AND VI; MEDIUM = MATURITY GROUP VII; LATE = MATURITY GROUPS VII AND VIII.

TABLE 12. PERFORMANCE OF SOYBEAN VARIETIES ON SUMTER SOIL, MARION JUNCTION, ALABAMA, 3-YEAR SUMMARY

BRAND-VARIETY	YIELD PER ACRE						3-YEAR AVERAGE						
	1963		2-YR. AV.		3-YR. AV.		LODGING		SHATTERING		PLANT HEIGHT		
	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 IN.	DATE 2 IN.	
EARLY													
BAY	24.4	10.3	26.1	-	19.2	-	1.0	-	1.5	-	19	-	9-13
BEUFORD	16.6	8.8	16.4	-	13.4	-	1.2	-	1.5	-	25	-	9-26
DELTA PINE 805	23.0	11.0	25.9	-	24.3	-	1.2	-	1.2	-	21	-	9-17
DELTA PINE 345	23.5	12.4	23.3	-	20.1	-	1.1	-	1.0	-	23	-	9-22
ESSFX	19.6	7.5	19.3	-	14.5	-	1.0	-	2.1	-	13	-	9-1
FOUR STAR	15.2	6.4	18.4	-	15.3	-	1.0	-	1.0	-	18	-	9-20
RA 480	22.5	6.9	28.1	-	24.8	-	1.9	-	1.7	-	31	-	9-11
TERRA-VIG 505	23.0	10.5	23.3	-	20.3	-	1.0	-	1.5	-	20	-	9-21
MEDIUM													
A 6520	18.1	9.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AGRATECH 67	19.6	10.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CENTENNIAL	17.9	9.2	18.0	-	17.1	-	1.0	-	1.0	-	24	-	10-9
CUKER 156	19.6	12.1	22.4	-	22.6	-	1.0	-	1.0	-	23	-	10-7
DAVIS	26.7	14.0	28.5	-	24.9	-	1.8	-	1.2	-	29	-	10-1
DELTA PINE 506	24.9	12.2	23.6	-	21.7	-	1.6	-	1.0	-	27	-	10-7
JEFF	12.4	12.5	13.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEE 74	18.3	11.5	18.0	-	17.9	-	1.0	-	1.0	-	18	-	10-7
RA 604	12.5	14.4	15.1	-	13.9	-	1.1	-	1.0	-	23	-	9-24
RA 680	17.7	12.3	19.5	-	16.2	-	1.0	-	1.0	-	24	-	10-8
TERRA-VIG 606	22.7	14.0	19.1	-	18.2	-	1.0	-	1.0	-	24	-	10-7
TRACY H	18.5	11.0	24.9	-	20.9	-	1.0	-	1.0	-	23	-	10-11

CONTINUED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE

TABLE 13. PERFORMANCE OF SOYBEAN VARIETIES ON YARDEN SOIL, MARION JUNCTION, ALABAMA 3-YEAR SUMMARY¹

BRAND-VARIETY	YIELD PER ACRE						3-YEAR AVERAGE					
	1983		2-YR. AV.		3-YR. AV.		LODGING		SMALLING		PLANT WEIGHT	
	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 IN.	DATE 2 IN.
EARLY												
BAY	54.1	33.1	43.6	34.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BEDFORD	49.7	32.7	43.6	29.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELTAPINE 105	58.3	36.5	51.7	36.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELTAPINE 345	48.1	26.7	40.2	27.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ESSEX	46.0	27.1	41.5	29.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FORREST	51.5	29.6	43.1	31.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RA 680	47.1	35.3	42.6	32.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRA-VIG 505	57.0	28.2	47.6	28.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MEDIUM												
A 6520	51.2	32.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AGRATECH 67	53.1	33.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CENTENNIAL	53.4	37.9	43.9	37.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COKER 156	56.9	34.5	50.2	34.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DAVIS	50.6	36.0	44.9	34.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELTAPINE 506	50.6	34.6	41.7	34.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JEFF	45.1	35.4	39.8	32.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LEE 74	45.2	34.4	38.2	32.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RA 604	36.3	34.5	34.0	29.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RA 680	52.1	37.2	46.3	34.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRA-VIG 606	59.3	34.5	49.5	33.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IPACY H	53.1	34.3	50.6	34.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

CONTINUED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE

TABLE 13. PERFORMANCE OF SOYBEAN VARIETIES ON VALENTIN SOIL, MARION JUNCTION, ALABAMA 3-YEAR SUMMARY¹

BRAND-VARIETY	YIELD PER ACRE						3-YEAR AVERAGE						
	1983		2-YR. AV.		3-YR. AV.		LODGING		SHATTERING		PLANT	HEIGHT	MATURITY
	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	IN.	IN.	DATE 1 DATE 2 DATE 1 DATE 2 DATE 1 DATE 2 DATE 1 DATE 2
LATE													
AGRI-PRO AP 70	48.2	40.1	35.1	36.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRAXTON	55.2	39.6	48.1	41.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CODD	45.8	43.6	32.2	38.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COKER 237	48.7	37.5	36.9	35.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COKER 317	43.4	34.3	35.2	31.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COKER 488	46.8	40.0	36.7	39.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELTA PINE 497	54.8	36.7	40.5	37.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DUOCUP	41.0	31.9	34.7	30.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FOSTER	46.3	38.3	38.6	34.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GASOY 17	47.9	40.9	35.5	36.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GOVAN	48.7	40.1	36.6	36.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HARTZ 7126	50.4	43.5	39.1	37.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HR-507-01-7	56.5	40.5	43.4	39.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HUTTON	19.9	39.2	13.7	30.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KIRBY	45.3	37.8	30.4	36.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RA 702	29.6	36.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RA 801	20.1	39.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RANSOM	54.0	40.5	41.6	39.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MIL STAR 790	31.2	39.3	20.3	31.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WRIGHT	54.3	41.6	41.3	37.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEST MEANS	47.7	36.2	39.8	34.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
L.S.D. (.05)	6.4	13.2	6.1	13.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
C.V. (%)	19.2	11.5	19.5	10.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

EARLY = MATURITY GROUPS IV AND V; MEDIUM = MATURITY GROUP VI; LATE = MATURITY GROUPS VII AND VIII.

¹Test grown at this site for 2 years only.

TABLE 15. PERFORMANCE OF SOYBEAN VARIETIES IN FAIRHOPE, ALABAMA. 3-YEAR SUMMARY

GRAND-VARIETY	YIELD PER ACRE						3-YEAR AVERAGE					
	1982		2-YR. AV.		3-YR. AV.		LOUNGING		SPLITTING		PLANT HEIGHT	
	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 IN.	DATE 2 IN.
EARLY												
AGRAFIC II 67	28.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BEDFORD	29.7	-	36.9	-	38.1	-	0.8	-	0.5	-	34	-
CENTENNIAL	42.1	-	44.5	-	40.6	-	0.7	-	0.5	-	33	-
COKER 156	41.3	-	46.1	-	42.8	-	0.7	-	0.5	-	34	-
DAVIS	45.6	-	46.9	-	43.6	-	0.9	-	0.5	-	36	-
DELTAPIPE 105	44.2	-	48.2	-	46.4	-	0.7	-	0.5	-	32	-
DELTAPIPE 506	46.7	-	49.2	-	43.6	-	0.7	-	0.5	-	35	-
FORREST	24.8	-	32.9	-	35.2	-	0.7	-	0.5	-	29	-
JEFF	45.2	-	47.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
S69-96	50.2	-	52.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TRACY H	42.0	-	43.5	-	41.2	-	1.1	-	0.5	-	32	-
MEDIUM												
A 7372	48.9	26.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AGRIPIRO AP 70	51.1	28.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AGRIPIRO AP 71	48.6	-	50.5	-	45.0	-	0.8	-	0.6	-	38	-
BRAXTON	50.4	29.1	51.2	-	46.1	-	1.0	-	0.5	-	39	-
COKER 317	46.8	-	47.7	-	43.9	-	1.3	-	0.5	-	40	-
DELTAPIPE 417	47.0	-	50.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DELTAPIPE 497	50.1	22.4	51.7	-	47.5	-	0.5	-	0.5	-	40	-
DUCKCUP	38.3	34.0	41.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GASNY 17	48.9	-	50.5	-	46.1	-	1.1	-	0.5	-	40	-
GK 120	44.4	23.9	47.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MCNAIR 770	51.3	-	50.2	-	46.9	-	0.5	-	0.5	-	33	-
NAPU 705	44.9	-	47.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RA 702	52.9	26.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RAISOM	47.5	-	48.4	-	43.7	-	0.7	-	0.5	-	32	-
S72-60	49.7	20.0	48.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TERRA-VIG 700	44.0	-	46.5	-	42.5	-	0.7	-	0.5	-	35	-
WRIGHT	50.9	-	50.7	-	46.0	-	0.6	-	0.5	-	37	-

CONTINUED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE

TABLE 15. PERFORMANCE OF SOYBEAN VARIETIES IN FAIRHOPE, ALABAMA, 3-YEAR SUMMARY

BRAND-VARIETY	YIELD PER ACRE						3-YEAR AVERAGE							
	1983		2-YR. AV.		3-YR. AV.		LODGING		SHATTERING		PLANT HEIGHT		MATURITY DATE	
	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 BU.	DATE 2 BU.	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 SCORE	DATE 2 SCORE	DATE 1 IN.	DATE 2 IN.	DATE 1 IN.	DATE 2 IN.
LAIE														
CODA	50.3	33.9	48.6	-	42.9	-	0.8	-	0.5	-	44	-	10-29	-
CUKER 330	49.3	27.3	49.7	-	44.3	-	0.5	-	0.5	-	40	-	10-27	-
COKER 360	49.1	21.9	48.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
COKER 400	51.3	23.4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DOHLING	52.9	26.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FOSTER	47.1	23.7	47.0	-	41.0	-	1.4	-	0.5	-	37	-	10-21	-
HUTTON	47.5	23.5	49.2	-	43.6	-	1.0	-	0.5	-	39	-	10-24	-
JOHNSON	38.2	18.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
JUPITER R	39.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
KIRBY	50.4	22.4	48.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RA 801	50.1	26.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SANTA ROSA R	52.8	37.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TEST MEANS	45.9	26.0	47.4	-	43.4	-	0.8	-	0.5	-	36	-		
L.S.D. (0.05)	7.3	4.4	7.1	-	6.6	-								
C.V. (%)	14.2	18.8	8.8	-	6.9	-								

EARLY = MATURITY GROUPS V AND VI; MEDIUM = MATURITY GROUP VII; LATE = MATURITY GROUPS VIII AND IX.

TABLE 15. PERFORMANCE OF SOYBEAN VARIETIES IN PRELIMINARY TESTS

BRAND-VARIETY	YIELD PER ACRE	
	NORTHERN (BELLE MINA)	SOUTHERN (MONROEVILLE)
	BU.	BU.
<u>GROUP V</u>		
FFR 559	16.5	-
FFR 560	16.5	-
FORREST	14.5	-
HARTZ 5252	17.5	-
HB-007-83-5	16.2	10.6
H78-168	20.9	-
NAPB 517	16.1	-
NS-27-79	21.5	20.9
N77-114	19.5	-
PIONEER 5482	17.1	-
PIONEER 9561	20.0	-
RA 580	15.9	-
SHILOH	-	24.3
WILSTAR 550	-	25.4
YIELD KING 503	17.3	-
YIELD KING 563	18.6	-
YIELD KING 593	21.8	-
<u>GROUP VI</u>		
A 6520	-	30.4
BRADLEY	18.3	21.5
CENTENNIAL	18.4	-
COKER 80-795	18.5	33.8
COKER 80-817	17.2	29.9
COKER 80-846	19.2	31.0
DELTAPINE 246	-	27.4
FFR 668	16.8	30.7
HARTZ 6383	-	32.1
HB-468-01-6	14.0	31.5
H78-160	18.1	16.7
H79-13403	17.0	29.3
H79-7817	20.5	32.4
NS-340-79	17.8	34.9
RA 604	-	31.3
SUMTER	-	31.0
S69-96	-	33.5
YIELD KING 613	14.2	31.0

CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

TABLE 15. PERFORMANCE OF SOYBEAN VARIETIES IN PRELIMINARY TESTS

BRAND-VARIETY	YIELD PER ACRE	
	NORTHERN (BELLE MINA)	SOUTHERN (MONROEVILLE)
	BU.	BU.
<u>GROUP VII</u>		
A 7372	16.7	-
BRAXTON	-	34.3
COKER 237	-	30.7
COKER 80-870	13.6	33.5
COKER 80-917	15.9	34.1
COKER 80-926	15.6	28.7
DELTA PINE 497	-	34.3
GK 21	-	33.8
HARTZ 7126	17.1	-
HB-507-01-7	-	28.5
NAPB 705	14.7	-
TERRA-VIG 708	21.9	-
YIELD KING 713	-	31.5
<u>GROUP VIII</u>		
KIRBY	-	31.0
TERRA-VIG 808	-	30.1
TEST MEANS	17.5	29.5
L.S.D. (0.05)	5.5	4.6
C.V. (%)	22.6	11.2

FOR STANDARD VARIETIES FOR NORTHERN ARE CENTENNIAL AND FOREST; AND SOUTHERN ARE BRAXTON AND KIRBY.

STEM CANKER DISEASE REACTIONS AT MARION JUNCTION AND SHORTER, ALABAMA²

Stem canker disease of soybeans, caused by the fungal organism Diaporthe phaselorum var. cavlivora, was first recognized in Alabama as a problem in 1977 in isolated areas of the Black Belt region. In 1983, severe levels of stem canker were reported from 19 counties in Alabama, and some stem canker was detected in 17 other counties.

Symptoms of the disease first appear as small, reddish-brown lesions usually located at the base of the petiole on the lower half of the main stem. As the disease progresses, the lesion elongates, becomes black and sunken surrounded by green plant tissue, and may eventually girdle the stem, killing the entire plant. The leaf tissue typically becomes yellow while the veins remain green. Reddish-brown cankers on the stem and leaf yellowing between the veins are a good indication of stem canker, but a definite diagnosis should be made by sending plant samples to the Plant Diagnostic Center, Extension Hall, Auburn University, AL 36849.

It is still unclear how stem canker is spread over long distances. Most pathologists believe that the fungus can be carried on seed, however this has not been proven consistently and further research is needed before this can be definitely established. It is not recommended that growers save or purchase seeds from fields known to be infested with stem canker. Since the disease organism survives in the soil on undecomposed soybean plant residue, hastening the decomposition process

²Prepared by Barbara H. Cosper, Research Associate, Department of Agronomy and Soils.

by shredding the stems at harvest and turning them under will encourage deterioration of the fungus. Once established, it is spread by wind-blown rain and contaminated equipment.

Moist conditions early in the growing season appear to favor stem canker development and severity, while any of numerous factors that may stress the plant, particularly at the pod-filling stage, may enhance losses due to stem canker. Research at Auburn indicates that the normal early pod-set and early pod-fill foliar fungicide sprays will not control stem canker, and that an early season fungicide application may be beneficial. However, more research is needed on fungicide timing and rates before this practice can be recommended.

Procedures

Stem canker disease ratings were made on entries in the soybean variety tests located at Marion Junction and Shorter. Varieties were planted at two dates on two soil types at Marion Junction.

Stem canker severity was determined at the pod-filling stage of development using two subjective rating scales. Rating scale A is a 1-5 scale: 1, 0-5 percent of plants dead or dying; 2, 6-10 percent of plants dead or dying; 3, 11-24 percent of plants dead or dying; 4, 25-50 percent of plants dead or dying; 5, over 50 percent of plants dead or dying. Rating scale B is a 0-5 scale, with ratings made to the tenth unit: 0, no visible signs of disease; 1.0, 10 percent of plants dead or dying; 2.0, 35 percent of plants dead or dying; 3.0, 65 percent of plants dead or dying; 4.0, 90 percent of plants dead or dying; 5.0, all plants dead. Rating scale A gives a general indication of varietal susceptibility, while rating scale B more closely approximates the percent dead or dying plants so that observable differences in varieties can be detected.

Results

Data from varietal evaluations indicate that significant levels of stem canker developed during 1983 in both locations and in 1982 and 1983 at Marion Junction, except for the second planting date in 1983, table 16. Tracy M and Braxton had the highest degree of tolerance to stem canker. Other varieties ranged from moderately tolerant to very susceptible. Planting a tolerant variety should lessen the chance of severe damage from stem canker, however this is no guarantee that stem canker will not be present. Further research is needed before substantial varietal recommendations can be made. Additional information on stem canker disease of soybean and its control may be obtained from the Alabama Cooperative Extension Service.

Table 16. Stem Canker Ratings Made on Soybean Varieties at Marion Junction and Shorter, Alabama

Brand-variety	Marion Junction												Shorter, 1983			
	Sumter Soil												Vaiden Soil			
	1983		1982		1982-1983 av.		1982		1983		1982		Date 1		Date 2	
	Scale	Scale	Scale	Scale	Scale	Scale	Scale	Scale	Scale	Scale	Scale	Scale	A	B	A	B
	A ¹	B ²	A	B	A	B	A	B	A	B	A	B	A	B	A	B
Early																
Bay	M ³	M	1	0.3	--	--	3	1.4	1	0.3	M	M	--	--	--	--
Bedford	3	1.2	3	1.6	3.0	1.4	3	1.5	3	1.1	M	M	--	--	--	--
Deltapine 105	3	1.5	2	0.7	2.5	1.1	3	1.3	2	0.8	M	M	3	1.3		
Deltapine 345	3	1.4	-	--	--	-	--	--	2	0.9	M	M	3	1.2		
Essex	M	M	M	M	--	--	4	1.7	2	0.6	M	M	--	--	--	--
Forrest	3	1.5	2	1.0	2.5	1.3	3	1.4	3	1.1	M	M	3	1.4		
Hartz 5370	-	--	-	--	--	--	-	--	-	--	-	-	2	0.8		
Terra-Vig 505	4	1.6	-	--	--	--	-	--	2	0.7	M	M	3	1.1		
Wilstar 550	-	--	-	--	--	--	-	--	-	--	-	-	2	0.7		
Medium																
A 6520	3	1.1	--	--	--	--	--	--	2	0.8	--	--	--	--	--	--
AgraTech 67	2	0.9	4	2.0	3.0	1.5	3	1.2	1	0.1	--	--	1	0.3		
Centennial	2	0.9	1	0.3	1.5	0.6	1	0.5	1	0.2	3	1.4	1	0.4		
Coker 156	3	1.3	2	0.6	2.5	1.0	1	0.4	1	0.1	4	1.9	1	0.3		
Davis	2	0.9	2	0.6	2.0	0.8	1	0.5	1	0.3	4	2.1	1	0.1		
Deltapine 506	2	0.9	--	--	--	--	--	--	1	0.3	4	1.7	--	--		
Hartz 7126	3	1.2	--	--	--	--	--	--	1	0.5	3	1.2	2	0.9		
Jeff	4	2.1	2	0.8	3.0	1.5	2	0.7	3	1.3	4	1.6	4	1.6		
Lee 74	3	1.4	3	1.2	3.0	1.3	2	1.2	2	0.8	4	2.1	--	--		
RA 604	4	2.1	--	--	--	--	--	--	4	1.7	M	M	4	2.5		
RA 680	2	0.9	--	--	--	--	--	--	1	0.1	3	1.3	1	0.2		
S69-96	-	--	3	1.5	--	--	3	1.3	-	--	--	--	3	1.1		
Terra-Vig 606	2	1.0	--	--	--	--	--	--	1	0.0	4	2.3	2	0.7		
Tracy M	1	0.0	1	0.0	1.0	0.0	1	0.0	1	0.0	M	M	1	0.0		

continued on the following page

Table 16. Stem Canker Ratings Made on Soybean Varieties at Marion Junction and Shorter, Alabama

Brand-variety	Marion Junction												Shorter, 1983	
	Sumter Soil				1982-1983 av.				Vaiden Soil					
	1983		1982		Date 1		Date 1		1983		1982			
	Scale A	Scale B	Scale A	Scale B	Scale A	Scale B	Scale A	Scale B	Scale A	Scale B	Scale A	Scale B		
Late														
Agripro AP 70	2	0.7	4	2.0	3.0	1.4	3	1.1	1	0.1	3	1.2	2	0.6
Agripro AP 71	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	1	0.6
Braxton	1	0.0	1	0.0	1.0	0.0	2	0.7	1	0.0	2	0.9	1	0.0
Cobb	2	0.7	4	1.7	3.0	1.2	3	1.1	1	0.2	2	0.9	-	--
Coker 237	4	2.1	3	1.2	3.5	1.7	3	1.1	3	1.2	4	1.6	3	1.4
Coker 317	2	1.0	--	--	--	--	--	--	1	0.4	3	1.5	2	0.6
Coker 368	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	2	0.6
Deltapine 497	2	0.6	--	--	--	--	--	--	1	0.2	2	1.0	2	0.6
Duocrop	3	1.1	4	1.7	3.5	1.4	2	0.6	1	0.4	4	2.3	2	0.6
Foster	1	0.5	4	1.8	2.5	1.2	3	1.5	1	0.4	3	1.3	3	1.2
GaSoy 17	2	0.8	3	1.3	2.5	1.1	2	0.7	1	0.5	3	1.2	2	0.7
Govan	2	0.8	4	1.9	3.0	1.4	3	1.1	1	0.4	3	1.3	1	0.3
HB 507-D1-7	2	0.8	--	--	--	--	--	--	1	0.2	3	1.4	1	0.3
Hutton	4	2.5	4	2.2	4.0	2.4	4	1.6	4	2.1	4	2.0	4	2.1
Kirby	2	0.7	4	1.7	3.0	1.2	3	1.1	2	0.7	2	0.9	1	0.2
McNair 770	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	2	0.9
NAPR 705	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	2	0.8
Ransom	2	1.0	3	1.5	2.5	1.3	2	0.7	3	0.8	2	1.2	2	1.0

continued on the following page

Table 16. Stem Canker Ratings Made on Soybean Varieties at Marion Junction and Shorter, Alabama

Brand-variety	Marion Junction												Shorter, 1983			
	Sumter Soil				Vaiden Soil											
	1983		1982		1982-1983 av.		1982		1983		1982					
	Scale A	Scale B	Scale A	Scale B	Scale A	Scale B	Scale A	Scale B	Scale A	Scale B	Scale A	Scale B	Scale A	Scale B	Scale A	Scale B
RA 701	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	4	2.1	--	--	--	--
RA 702	4	2.2	--	--	--	--	--	--	4	2.0	--	--	4	1.8	--	--
RA 800	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	4	1.9	--	--	--	--
RA 801	4	2.3	--	--	--	--	--	--	4	2.1	--	--	4	1.9	--	--
Terra-Vig 708	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	3	1.4	--	--
Wilstar 790	3	1.6	4	2.2	3.5	1.9	3	1.3	3	1.5	4	1.8	--	--	--	--
Wright	2	0.6	3	1.3	2.5	0.9	2	1.0	1	0.1	3	1.3	2	0.6	--	--
Test means	2.6	1.16	2.8	1.24	2.67	1.20	2.5	1.03	1.8	0.66	3.3	1.53	2.2	0.86		

¹Rating scale A= 1, 0-5% of plants dead or dying; 2, 6-10% of plants dead or dying; 3, 11-24% of plants dead or dying; 4, 25-50% of plants dead or dying; 5, over 50% of plants dead or dying.

²Rating scale B= 0, no visible signs of disease; 1.0, 10% of plants dead or dying; 2.0, 35% of plants dead or dying; 3.0, 65% of plants dead or dying; 4.0, 90% of plants dead or dying; 5.0, all plants dead.

³M=mature.

RECOMMENDED SOYBEAN VARIETIES FOR 1984

The list of recommended varieties was prepared by the authors of this report, D.B. Weaver, soybean breeder, Department of Agronomy and Soils, and J.B. Henderson, Agronomist-Soybeans, Alabama Cooperative Extension Service, based on variety test performance for at least 3 years.

Northern Alabama

Early
Bay
Bedford
Deltapine 105
Deltapine 345
Essex
Forrest
Wilstar 550

Medium
Centennial
Coker 156
Davis
Lee 74
Tracy M

Late
Braxton
Ransom

Early
Deltapine 105
Deltapine 345

Medium
Agratech 67
Centennial
Coker 156
Davis
Tracy M

Late
Braxton
Cobb
Coker 488
Deltapine 497
Hartz 7126
McNair 770

Southern Alabama

Early
Centennial
Coker 156
Davis
Tracy M

Medium
Agripro AP 70
Braxton
GaSoy 17
Ransom
Wright

Late
Cobb
Coker 488
Foster
Kirby

Black Belt Soils

Early
Deltapine 105
RA 480

Medium
Centennial
Coker 156
Davis
RA 680
Tracy M

Late
Braxton
Cobb
Coker 488
Ransom
Wright

Baldwin-Mobile

Early
Bedford
Centennial
Davis
Deltapine 105
Coker 156
S69-96

Medium
Braxton
Deltapine 497
GaSoy 17
McNair 770
Wright

Late
Cobb
Coker 368
Kirby